1		HONORABLE RONALD B. LEIGHTON
2		
3		
4		
5		
6 7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON	
8	AT TACOMA	
9	MICHELLE GILBERT,	CASE NO. C15-5550 RBL
10	Plaintiff,	ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR COURT-APPOINTED ATTORNEY
11	v.	[Dkt. #5]
12	CLARK COUNTY SHERIFF, et al.,	[=]
13	Defendants.	
14	THIS MATTER is before the Court on <i>pro se</i> Plaintiff Michelle Gilbert's motion for	
15	court-appointed counsel.	
16	In exceptional circumstances, the court may ask an attorney to represent any person	
17	unable to afford counsel under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1). Franklin v. Murphy, 745 F.2d 1221,	
18	1236 (9th Cir. 1984). To find exceptional circumstances, the court must evaluate the likelihood	
19	of success on the merits and the ability of the petitioner to articulate the claims <i>pro se</i> in light of	
20	the complexity of the legal issues involved. Weygandt v. Look, 718 F.2d 952, 954 (9th Cir.	
21	1983).	
22	While the Court previously granted Gilbert's application to proceed in forma pauperis, it	
23	cannot be said that she has shown any likelihood of success on the merits of her claim.	
24		

Case 3:15-cv-05550-RBL Document 7 Filed 09/23/15 Page 2 of 2

1	Gilbert's suit broadly and cryptically claims that officers unconstitutionally restrained her son.	
2	But she has not identified the "who what when where why" of a likely-to-succeed claim against	
3	any of the named defendants. Her Motion for the appointment of counsel is DENIED.	
4	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
5		
6	Dated this 23 rd day of September, 2015.	
7	Ronal B. Cenham	
8	Ronald B. Leighton United States District Judge	
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		